

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/782,721		SHEPARD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Lawrence E. Crane		1623	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(2) Antoinette F. Konski.

**Date of Interview:** 24 June 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All remaining of record*

Claims discussed:

*All remaining of record*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant was advised by e-mail of a proposed examiner's amendment concerning needed changes to make the case allowable. Applicant responded that the amendment was acceptable. Applicant was informed that the case was allowable as amended.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/  
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)